



AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND – ROUND 2 EVALUTATION CRITERIA

Max Points	Score	Evaluation Criteria
10		<p>Strength of Partnership Documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signed agreement between Developer and UGLG required Any additional documentation provided or included within agreement validating strength of collaboration and partnership
10		<p>Additional Evidence of Local Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documentation of municipal/local government support of the proposed project such as letters of support or resolutions Committed funding from local agencies Other support from local agencies, community groups, elected representatives
10		<p>Consistency with Community Recovery Priorities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consistency with efforts made within the local government agency Consistency with part of a regional effort to develop community priorities for disaster recovery
10		<p>Considerations for Climate Resiliency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consistency with community planning priorities related to climate resiliency Ways the project incorporates or plans to incorporate resilient construction methods Site considerations such as avoiding floodplain and/or providing nearby access to public transportation
20		<p>Evidence of readiness to proceed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other committed funding; Proof of site control; Approved zoning or permitting decisions; Proof of solidified drawings or A/E; Environmental reviews, or other pre-development procurement Estimated timeline

15		<p>Capacity of applicant to manage CDBG-DR grants (which may include hiring or contracting plans), including but not limited to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity to perform financial management and oversight; • Capacity to perform grant management functions as demonstrated through prior experience managing grants with in-house staff or with a grant management consultant; • Internal auditing capability; • Administrative staffing; • Knowledge of both Federal and State procurement and contracting requirements
15		<p>Financial Management Experience of Federal grant funds, and the ability of financial systems to meet all State and Federal requirements including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of 2 CFR Part 200 requirements; • Accounting methods, and budget controls; • Proof that expenditures are necessary, reasonable, and directly related to the grant; • Monitoring and controls of timely expenditure of Federal funds
10		<p>Other Financial and Capacity Considerations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Debt Service Coverage Ratios; • Per Unit Cost; • Previous experience of tax credit developer

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

- Entities (or the property site submitted for application) must be located within one of the 16 MID Counties (Bladen, Brunswick, Carteret, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Duplin, Edgecombe, Jones, New Hanover, Onslow, Pamlico, Pender, Robeson, Scotland, Wayne) or one of the 7 NCORR State-identified MID counties (Beaufort, Dare, Harnett, Johnston, Lenoir, Pitt, or Sampson).
- Proposed activities must be eligible. This will be determined on a case-by-case basis.
- Tie-back to storm/storm impact must be present (Matthew or Florence)
- Property is **not** located within the 100-year floodplain.
- Site control through ownership or option to purchase
- Readiness to proceed
- Documentation of municipal support
- Written commitments for all other funding sources necessary to complete the proposed project